DeMaria, Eva

From: SUTTER Jennifer <SUTTER.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 3:53 PM

To: DeMaria, Eva

Subject: RE: Topsoil import material data

Attachments: removed.txt; RE: Topsoil import material data

Thanks Eva

Jennifer

From: DeMaria, Eva [mailto:DeMaria.Eva@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 3:48 PM

To: SUTTER Jennifer

Subject: Fw: Topsoil import material data

Hi Jennifer-

We won't be able to provide our comments until 4:30 earliest, hopefully 5 latest.

Eva

From: DeMaria, Eva

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 2:19 PM

To: SUTTER Jennifer

Subject: Re: Topsoil import material data

Hi Jennifer-

We'll try to get you feedback by that time too. Thanks.

Eva

From: SUTTER Jennifer < SUTTER.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 10:11 AM

To: DeMaria, Eva

Subject: FW: Topsoil import material data

Hi Eva

Let me know if you have any concerns with using this material as berm backfill at Evraz Oregon Steel. I'm looking at it now and wanted to get this to you right away since work will stop today if they can't use this material. I plan to give them my feedback by 4 pm this afternoon.

Thanks!

Jennifer Sutter

| Project Manage | er, DEQ NWR (| Cleanup and Tanks |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 700 NE Multno | mah St., Suite | #600, |
| Portland, OR 97 | 7232. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

From: Craig Heimbucher [mailto:cheimbucher@integral-corp.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 10:00 AM

To: SUTTER Jennifer

(503) 229-6148

Cc: Drew Gilpin (Drew.Gilpin@evrazna.com); Debbie Deetz Silva (Debbie.Deetz.Silva@evrazna.com); Mike Byers (mike.byers@creteconsulting.com); Linda Baker; Jamie Stevens (jamie.stevens@creteconsulting.com); Jane Sund

Subject: Topsoil import material data

Jennifer,

We are requesting DEQ concurrence on the use of topsoil mix consisting of compost from S & H Landscape Supply (part of BES stormwater mix previously tested and approved) and sandy loam from the Molalla River (referred to as Topsoil #2). The mix ratio is 1 part compost to 4 parts sandy loam and the textural analysis of the Topsoil #2 meets the physical requirements of the planting design.

A pre-mixed topsoil sample, collected as a 5-point composite, was analyzed for chemical criteria. All chemical criteria met the goals identified in the design report except selected dioxin/furan (D/F). The detected concentrations are relatively low as discussed below (all data is attached). Five noncarcinogenic PAHs (butyl benzyl phthalate, benz(a)anthracene, fluoranthene, phenanthrene and pyrene) were detected at concentrations below the design report goals and below applicable JSCS and EPA draft PRGs. In addition, one SVOC was not detected but had a detection limit slightly above the goal identified in the design report (benzoic acid: import goal was 2000 ug/kg and reporting limit was 2090 ug/kg).

Please review the attached summary tables and information below on dioxin/furan, and let us know if you concur that the Topsoil #2 is acceptable for use as the planting substrate on the riverbank berm. The topsoil will be used on the top/front of the berm and will be 2 foot thick for a total volume of up to 2,000-4,000 cy. The soil on the newly constructed berm will be covered by an erosion control blanket (coconut fiber jute mat) and planted.

In order to prevent a delay in construction, we would appreciate a response on Topsoil #2 today. We are currently analyzing a third topsoil source (Topsoil #3) and expect results next week. We will be using Topsoil #2 pending results of Topsoil #3. If Topsoil #3 is considered acceptable, we plan to switch to from using Topsoil #2 to Topsoil #3.

Dioxin/Furan

The Topsoil #2 D/F results that exceed import goals in the design report are all slightly less than the D/F results of the BES stormwater mix that was approved for use by DEQ.

Four D/F congeners exceeded their import goal (based on the reporting limit) as follows:

| | Import Criteria | |
|--------|-----------------|------------|
| ng/kg) | (pg/g; ng/kg) | (unitless) |

| | RESULT | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----|--------|
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Hepta | | | |
| CDD | 76.3 | 2.5 | 0.01 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Hepta | | | |
| CDF | 6.77 | 2.5 | 0.01 |
| Octa CDD | 857 | 5 | 0.0003 |
| Octa CDF | 24.2 | 5 | 0.0003 |

TEQs calculated with 3 treatments of NDs and 3 TEFs.

| 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | ng TEQ/kg dv dw |
|-------------------|------|----------|-------------------|-------|------|-------------------|------|------|--------------------|
| ND=0 | | ND=1/2RL | | ND=RL | | | | | |
| mammalian 2005 | fish | bird | mammalian 2005 | fish | bird | mammalian 2005 | fish | bird | |

As the table shows, these concentrations/TEQs are below:

- 1. JSCS toxicity SLV for 2,3,7,8 TCDD = 9 ng/kg dw.
- 2. EPA draft FS RAO 1 PRG for human direct contact = 10 ng TEQ/kg dw.
- 3. Puget Sound DMMP open water disposal for non-dispersive sites = 4 ng TEQ/kg dw.
- 4. ODEQ Ecological toxicity SLVs and RBCs.

Some concentrations/TEQ exceed bioaccumulative-based screening level values and draft PRGs. However, this material will be above the 100-year flood plain (not in the water) and as noted above, measures are being taken to prevent erosion.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Craig Heimbucher, P.E. | Senior Engineer Integral Consulting Inc. | www.integral-corp.com



Home - Integral Consulting Inc.

Integral Consulting Inc. is an international environmental consulting firm providing services in health, environment, technology, and sustainability.

Read more...

319 SW Washington St. Suite 1150 | Portland, OR 97204

